

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/641,725	08/15/2003	Manfred Muller	0275M-000761	2172	
27572	7590 07/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			NGUYEN, PHUONGCHI T		
P.O. BOX 82	· -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
BLOOMFIE	LD HILLS, MI 48303				
			2833		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/_/_		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(•		
Office Action Cummon.	10/641,725	MULLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phuongchi Nguyen	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	3SS		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status		,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E			ierits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age		
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			52)		

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed on August 15, 2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 2, lines 1-2, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 2, lines 1-2, "the first and second electric contact faces together comprise an earth terminal" does not disclose in the specification and drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki (US5492388) in view of Pandit et al (US6234850B1).

In regarding to claim 1, Kawasaki discloses (figure 14) a weld-on fastener for an electric contact with a weld nut (72) (the nut 42 of the first embodiment in figure 1 is a weld nut;

Art Unit: 2833

therefore, the nut 72 is also a weld nut), the fastener comprising, a weld nut (72) including, a topside (of nut 72 where 72 engages to spacer 75) having a first electric contact face (of 72 connects to 75) (because metal nut 72 and metal bolt 80 are able to conduct electricity); and a bottom side (of nut 72 where a portion having 72α located) having an annular axial projection (a portion having 72α) surrounding a cavity (forming by projection having 72α), the cavity having a predeterminable depth; a screw (80) fastenably connectable into the weld nut (72), the screw (80) having a second electric contact face (of 80 at flange 77 connects to 75); and a separate portion (75) operably clamped between the first (of 72 connects to 75) and second contact faces (of 80 at flange 77 connects to 75). Kawasaki lacks a ring spacer. However, Pandif et al teaches a spacer (24) is a ring (because the screw 16 has a circular shaft 18, the spacer 24 must be a circular ring as well). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to add on the weld-on fastener of Kawasaki the spacer ring as taught by Pandit et al for increasing the compression force between the nut and the screw.

In regarding to claim 5, Kawasaki discloses the invention, but lacks the thickness of the spacer ring being equal to the depth of the cavity. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the fastener of Kawasaki by providing substantially a pre-determinable thickness of the spacer ring and the depth of the cavity in a fastener welded state to be equal; since applicant does not explain the same thickness of spacer ring and depth of the cavity will make any improvement in the fastener; therefore, the same thickness for the spacer ring and the cavity is a matter of design.

In regarding to claim 6, Kawasaki discloses (figure 14) the fastener comprising the weld nut (72) including an internal thread (72 α) having an internal thread length (of 72 α), and the screw (80) including an external thread (80 β) having an external thread length (of 80 β); wherein

Page 4

the external thread length (of 80\beta) is dimensionable such that a screw end (opposite to the nut of screw 80) is locatable substantially flush (since screw 80 has external thread 80ß) guiding onto the internal thread 72α) with an internal thread end of the weld nut (72) when the spacer (75) is clamped.

In regarding to claim 7, Kawasaki discloses the fastener wherein an external cross sectional width of the annular axial projection sectional width is smaller than a topside cross sectional width. Kawasaki lacks an external cross sectional width of the annular axial projection sectional width being larger than a topside cross sectional width. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to have an external cross sectional width of the annular axial projection sectional width of Kawasaki being larger than a topside cross sectional width for ease in manufacturing.

In regarding to claim 8, further in view of claim 1, Kawasaki discloses the invention, but lacks weldable joint formable at a distal end of the annular axial projection. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the weld-onfastener of Kawasaki by adding a weld at the joint between the distal end of the annular axial projection and the mating portion for increasing a good connection between distal end of the annular projection and mating portion.

In regarding to claim 9, further in view of claim 1, Kawasaki lacks a ring spacer. However, Pandif et al teaches a spacer (24) is a ring (because the screw 16 has a circular shaft 18, the spacer 24 must be a circular ring as well) and the screw is engaged within the female threaded aperture (forming by the nut 22) (column 3, lines 45-47). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to add on the weld-on fastener of Kawasaki the spacer ring as taught by Pandit et al for increasing the compression force between

Art Unit: 2833

the nut and the screw; when the spacer ring (24) adds on and is positionable between the first and second electric contacts and the spacer ring (24) substantially covering both the first and second electric contacts (direct/indirectly).

In regarding to claim 10, further in view of claim 1, Kawasaki discloses the comprising a cavity depth (forming by projection having 72α) measurable from the second end.

Claim 11 is rejected for the same reason of claim 5.

In regarding to the method of claims 12, 13 and 14, the method of forming a device is not germane to the issues of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki (US5492388) in view of Pandit et al (US6234850B1) as claimed 1 above, and further in view of Hirzmann (6535394B1).

In regarding to claim 2, Kawasaki discloses the fastener wherein the first (of 72 connects to 75) and second electric contact faces(of 80 at flange 77 connects to 75) together are useable in a motor vehicle (abstract, lines 1). Kawasaki lacks an earth terminal. However, Hirzmann teaches the first (of 300 connects to 210) and second electric contact faces (of 310) comprises an earth (pad) terminal (210) (column 2, lines 62-64). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to provide on the fastener of Kawasaki an earth terminal as taught by Hirzmann for having a ground connection in the vehicle.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki (US5492388) in view of Pandit et al (US6234850B1) as claimed 1 above, and further in view of Hauske et al (US6623214B1).

In regarding to claim 3, Kawasaki discloses the invention, but lacks the spacer ring including polyethylene. However, Hauske et al teaches the spacer ring (20) comprises an elastic material, the elastic material including polyethylene (column 4, lines 55-60). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to provide on the fastener of Kawasaki an polyethylene spacer ring as taught by Hauske et al for increasing the good connection between the nut and the screw.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki (US5492388) in view of Pandit et al (US6234850B1) as claimed 1 above, and further in view of Kellison (US4642964).

In regarding to claim 4, Kawasaki discloses the invention, but lacks a seal. However, Kellison teaches the spacer ring (28) comprises a seal operably sealing the contact faces (column 2, lines 29-32). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to provide on the fastener of Kawasaki a seal spacer ring as taught by Kellison for increasing the good connection between the nut and the screw to protect the fastener and the system from any bad environment.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Delcourt et al (US6746285B2), Perugini (US5655931), Guillou et al (US4850903) and Romerein et al (US4990109) are cited to show in the fastener art having nut, screw and spacer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchi Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2012. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/641,725 Page 7

Art Unit: 2833

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PCN

July 15, 2004

ROSS GUSHI PRIMARY EXAMINER